UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,861	03/04/2004	Hidenori Koshikawa	0171-1069P	5251
	7590 04/09/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I		
FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22040-0747	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/09/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

				1/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/791,861	KOSHIKAWA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. A period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO.	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this commu NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This					
′=						
ت, د	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		<i>71110</i> 10		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	g e		
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	ny (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,861 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

In view of applicant's amendment and response dated 2/26/2007 following final office action was necessitated as follows. The disclosure of SAITO, GUERRA, OKI, BARBIERI and CAPORICCIO are overcome by the amendment since fluorinated polymer of amended claim 1requires silicon functional group at the terminals of the polymer. The prior art of SAITO, GUERRA, TANAKA, CAPORICCIO, BARBIERI and OKI do not disclose fluoroelastomers having silane functionalized terminals.

Applicant's amendment however raised issue of new matter and the claims as presented before the office, contradict each other as stated below.

The present claims 1, 4-7 and 10 are still rejected under both Double Patenting rejection and anticipation rejections as stated in the office action dated 10/24/2006. Double Patenting rejections and anticipation rejections of the above claims are therefore incorporated here by reference. Claims 2, 3, 8 and 9 are objected with respect to the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

Page 3

There is no support in applicant's amendment for "at least three of Y_1 , Y_2 , Y_3 , Y_4 , Y_5 and Y_6 " being alkenyls. Furthermore, claims 2, 8 and 10 include fluorinated polymers having only two alkenyl groups, which are excluded by claim 1. Claims 2, 8 and 10 therefore fail to narrow the limitations of claim 1.

Response to the Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the disclosures of SAITO, GUERRA, TANAKA, BARBIERI, OKI and CAPORICCIO are considered moot due to discontinuation of this prior art against present claims.
- 4. The applicants argued that the disclosue 7,087,673 teaches Q component having an alkenyl group capable of reaction with peroxide crosslinking agent that is attached to the backbone of the molecule and not terminal.

With respect to the above argument, that is not exactly correct. See Col. 9 a chemical drawing of the suitable polymer for the disclosure '673 approximately in the middle of the page.

Art Unit: 1714

In addition applicant's claim 1 contains new matter, so unless that gets resolved disclosure '673 is still applicable against present claims.

Page 4

- 5. With respect to the disclosure 6,576,701 see the arguments in paragraph 4 of this office action.
- 6. With respect to the disclosure 6,825,267 see the arguments in paragraph 4 of this office action.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1714

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katarzyna/Wyrozebski

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

March 30, 2007